

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/706,536	11/12/2003	Nicholas V. Perricone	00961-P0243B	6897
24126	7590 03/31/2005		EXAMINER	
ST. ONGE STEWARD JOHNSTON & REENS, LLC 986 BEDFORD STREET			TANG, SON M	
	STAMFORD, CT 06905-5619		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	2632	

DATE MAILED: 03/31/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/706,536	PERRICONE, NICHOLAS V.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Son M Tang	2632			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - External after - If the - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by-statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 November 2003.					
2a)[]	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
•	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are rejected.					
· —	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers	·				
9)	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
11)[The bath of declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer, Note the attached Office	Action or form P1O-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		——————————————————————————————————————			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price		ed in this National Stage			
* 5	application from the International Burea	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	d			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment	t(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) U Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite´. atent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper	r No(s)/Mail Date 6/11/04.	6) Other:	atom Application (I 10-102)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1- 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sleichter, III et al. [US 6,744,370; Sleichter] in view of Strumolo et al. [US 6,831,572; Strumolo].

Regarding to claims 1, 10, 21 and 22-23: Sleichter discloses a threat detection interface system for providing an alert to an operator of a vehicle relating to a threat identified by a threat detection system comprising:

-a control interface 37 coupled to the threat detection system 43 for resolving a threat detection signal;

-a plurality of vibrator units (12, 12' see Fig. 7) in tactile communication with the operator, said plurality of vibratory units being coupled to said control interface 37; and a control signal 111 generated by said control interface 37 for controlling said plurality of vibrator units based upon a determined direction of the identified threat relative to the vehicle [as shown in Fig. 1, 7-8, col. 6, lines 1-10, col. 7, lines 1-10, col. 22, lines 1-40 and col. 24, lines 129], Sleichter does not specifically disclose the threat is based upon a determined distance of the identified thread relative to the vehicle. It is known in the art that, to determine the potential collision with other object relative to the vehicle, the distance to the object and speed of the vehicle must be determined by the radar, Strumolo teaches a vehicle collision warning system that warns operator

Art Unit: 2632

of a potential collision, the warning information include direction, time and distance of the collision [as cited in col. 5, lines 8-13]. Therefore, it would have been obvious of one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have a potential collision distance information as suggested by Strumolo into the system of Sleichter, for the benefit of more accurate to collision information.

Regarding to claims 2, 11: Sleichter further discloses the control signal activates a selected vibratory unit 12 based upon the direction of the identified threat relative to the vehicle [see col. 22, lines 1-8, 20-40].

Regarding to claims 3-4, 12-13 and 28-29: Sleichter further discloses the control signal 111 modulates a selected vibratory based upon the directional of a warning signal, and different vibrator intensity for in each of the directional aspects [col. 26, lines 1-8], except for not specifically discloses the vibrator intensity based upon the distance of the identified threat. Since control signal 111 capable to modulate the threat from different directions, one having ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious for the control signal 111, to modulate vibrator intensity of the threat at different distances.

Regarding to claims 5-6, 15: Sleichter further discloses that vibrator units is located in harness 194 integrals to an article (fabric sleeve 207) worn by the operator [see Fig. 9, 7, col. 22, lines 55-67].

Regarding to claims 7-9, 14, 24-26: Sleichter further discloses that plurality of vibrator units is distributed on the operator's back with flexible material pad 14, and operator torso and legs (thigh) [see Fig. 1, col. 5, lines 64-67 and col. 9, lines 35-43], except for not specifically discloses that vibrator units is distributed on operator's head and comprises a vest surrounding

Application/Control Number: 10/706,536

Art Unit: 2632

the user's torso. Since, the vibrator pad 14 is integral to a flexible fabric capable to wear on operator's body such as legs, shoulder, back and etc., therefore it would have been obvious of one having ordinary skill in the would recognize that, vibrator pad 14 can be made as a flexible vest so operator can be wear on any appropriate part of hi/her body includes the head.

Page 4

Regarding to claim 27: Sleichter further discloses that wherein the position of a threat is mapped to one or more vibratory elements 12, that correspondingly directionally orienting tactile stimulus to the driver for easily able to visually identify the offending object [cited in col. 22, lines 3-8, 17-37], but lacks in specifically disclosing the mapped vibratory elements positioned in or near an axis extending from said body of the user to the threat. As long as, the threat direction can be identified by the driver, employing any position for performing the same function would not constitutes an inventive step, it is an obvious of matter of design choice. Therefore, it would have been obvious of one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to select the vibratory elements positioned near an axis extending from the body of user to the threat, for the purpose of easy to identify the threat direction.

Regarding to claims 16-20: The claimed method steps are interpreted and rejected as rejection stated above.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Karell [US 6,091,321], Hirsch [US 3,736,551], Hall [US 6,223,125], Greene et al. [US 5,986,582] and Rosenberg et al. [US 6,580,417].

Art Unit: 2632

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Son M Tang whose telephone number is (571)272-2962. The examiner can normally be reached on 4/9 First Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel J Wu can be reached on (571)272-2964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Son Tang

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

3/21/05